

United States Patent and Trademark Office

MJ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/519,735	12/28/2004	Yoshito Saji	10407-121US(A3048MT-US1)	2734
570	0 7590 11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
	IP STRAUSS HAUER	KAYRISH, MATTHEW		
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Distingly 135 ## SAJIET AL. Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Matthew G, Kayrish 2627 Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If No period for reply is septiled above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxerum statutory period statutory		10/519,735	SAJI ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 13(6). In own event however, may retyly be limited in the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 13(6). In own event however, may retyly be limited in the provision of the communication	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - batterions of inner may be available under the provisions of 37 CPt 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely filled to the provision of 37 CPt 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely filled to the provision of 37 CPt 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely filled to the common of the provision of 37 CPt 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely filled this common of the provision of the p		Matthew G. Kayrish	2627				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of size may be available under the provisions of 37 FR1 138(b). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 51X (b) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 43 FRI 138(b) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failur to reprove with the sort or exceeded period for rigory but, by status, cause the application became ABANDORIEC 50 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed parent the adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication (s) filed on 31 August 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,2.5-10.27 and 2g is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 4 and 11-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) to object the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2006. 2a	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-10.27 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 4 and 11-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	Status		•				
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-10.27 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 4 and 11-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	igust 2006.					
3							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.2.5-10.27 and 28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3. 4 and 11-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3. 4 and 11-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3. 4 and 11-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4) Claim(s) 1.2.5-10.27 and 28 is/are pending in the application.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,27 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	•						
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,27 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	,						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are; a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	•						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)							
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1.	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application		A) T Intention Summer	(PTO-413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [Other:					

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 8/31/2006, with respect to the dates of the prior art have been fully considered and are persuasive. The non-final rejection, filed on 6/2/2006 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki et al (US Patent Number 6407982), in view of Inoue (US Patent Number 6590858), in further view of Spector (US Patent Number 5600628).

Regarding claim 1, Inoue discloses:

A disc cartridge for storing a disc that has a first side with a first functional portion and a second side, the disc cartridge comprising:

A cartridge body including a disc storage portion (figure 5, item 2b), a chucking opening (figure 2, item 3a) and a head opening (figure 2, item 3b), wherein the disc storage portion has a disc window (figure 2, item 2) and a bottom (figure 2, item 4) and stores the disc therein so that the first side thereof is exposed through the disc window (figure 7, disc [D] will be exposed when in recess);

Art Unit: 2627

The chucking opening is provided on the bottom of the disc storage portion (figures 23 and 24, disk gets chucked by item 55) so as to get the disc chucked externally (column 20, lines 20-25); and

The head opening is also provided on the bottom of the disc storage portion so as to allow a head (figure 24, item 61), which reads and/or writes data from/on the second side of the disc, to access the second side of the disc (column 20, lines 43-48);

Aoki et al fails to disclose:

A shutter, which is supported to, and movable with respect to, the cartridge body so as to expose or cover at least the head opening; and

A second functional portion, which is provided for the cartridge body and which produces an audiovisual effect by cooperating with the first functional portion of the disc.

Inoue discloses:

A shutter (figure 1, item 18), which is supported to (figure 1, via items 27a & 27b), and movable with respect to, the cartridge body (figure 1, items 13 and 15) so as to expose or cover at least the head opening (figure 3, head opening is exposed); and

Inoue fails to specifically disclose:

A second functional portion, which is provided for the cartridge body and which produces an audiovisual effect by cooperating with the first functional portion of the disc.

Spector discloses:

A second functional portion, which is provided for the cartridge body and which produces an audiovisual effect by cooperating with the first functional portion of the disc (figure 6, item 18).

Art Unit: 2627

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Aoki et al's cartridge with a shutter, as taught by Inoue, because shutters protect the face of the disk, as mentioned in column 2, lines 7-9. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Aoki et al with a design style utilizing the image on the disc and the completed image on the panel, as taught by Spector, because this will provide the user with a visual image of the audio content on the disc, and will furthermore help the user identify the contents of the disk before placing the disk into the player as mentioned by Spector in columns 2 and 4, lines 46-48 and 35-41.

Regarding claim 2, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue fail to disclose:

Wherein the first and second functional portions produce a visual effect by cooperating with each other.

Spector discloses:

Wherein the first and second functional portions which produce a visual effect by cooperating with each other (figure 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Aoki et al & Inoue's cartridge with the design style utilizing the image on the disc and the completed image on the panel, as taught by Spector, because this will provide the user with a visual image of the audio content on the disc, and will furthermore help the user identify the contents of the disk before placing the disk into the player as mentioned by Spector in columns 2 and 4, lines 46-48 and 35-41.

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 5, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue fail to disclose:

Wherein the first functional portion is a first design provided on the first side, the second functional portion is a second design provided on a portion of the upper surface of the cartridge body near the disc window, and the first and second designs are combined together to make up a third design that looks like a single continuous design.

Spector discloses:

Wherein the first functional portion which is a first design provided on the first side (figure 1, item 14), a second functional portion which is a second design provided on a portion of the upper surface of the cartridge body (figure 6, item 18), near the disc window (figure 6, item 19), and the first and second designs are combined together to make up a third design that looks like a single continuous design (column 4, lines 23-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that providing Aoki et al & Inoue's cartridge with the design style utilizing the image on the disc and the completed image on the panel, as taught by Spector, because this will provide the user with a visual image of the audio content on the disc, and will furthermore help the user identify the contents of the disk before placing the disk into the player as mentioned by Spector in columns 2 and 4, lines 46-48 and 35-41. Furthermore, the combination would place this audiovisual effect near the disc window.

Regarding claim 6, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue fail to disclose:

Art Unit: 2627

Wherein the first design is a picture drawn on the first side and the second

design is a picture drawn on the upper surface.

Spector discloses:

Wherein the first design is a picture drawn on the first side (figure 1, item 14) and

the second design is a picture drawn on the upper surface (figure 6, item 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to create the audiovisual effect out of a picture, as taught

by Spector, because the audiovisual effect helps create an image in the users head of

the audio portion of the disc, and will furthermore help the user identify the contents of

the disk before placing the disk into the player as mentioned by Spector in columns 2

and 4, lines 46-48 and 35-41.

Regarding claim 7, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue fail to disclose:

Wherein the first and second designs are planar.

Spector discloses:

Wherein the first and second designs are planar (figure 4, item 10 is planar with

item 18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to give Aoki et al & Inoue's the disc window a depth equal

to that of the disc, as taught by Spector, because this will prevent inconsistencies in the

image created by the disc in combination with the cartridge.

Regarding claim 10, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue fail to disclose:

· Art Unit: 2627

Wherein the first side of the disc and the upper surface of the cartridge body are textured.

Spector discloses:

Wherein the first side of the disc and the upper surface of the cartridge body are textured (column 3, lines 44-47, paper is textured).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create the audiovisual of a textured adhesive paper, as taught by Spector, because this would make it cheaper to recreate in the instances that mistakes are made when painting directly on or embossing onto the disc.

Regarding claims 27 and 28, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue, in further view of Spector, disclose:

A disc drive comprising:

A supporting portion into which the disc cartridge of claim 1 is loadable (See figure 18), a disc having a first side with a first functional portion and a second side being stored in the disc cartridge (See claim 1);

A spindle motor for mounting and rotating the disc thereon (figure 18, item 76);

A head, which is able to read and/or write data from/on the second side of the disc (figure 18, item 75);

A sensor for detecting a rotational angular position of the spindle motor when the disc is mounted on the spindle motor (figure 18, item 75); and

A control section for controlling the spindle motor in accordance with a command to eject the disc cartridge such that the spindle motor stops at the rotational angular

Art Unit: 2627

position when the disc is mounted thereon (figure 18, item 70 must have an ejection

button).

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Aoki et al, in view of Inoue, in further view of Spector, in even further view of Everidge et

al (US Patent Number 6400675).

Regarding claim 8, Aoki et al, in view of Inoue fail, in further view of Spector, fail

to disclose:

Wherein the first and second designs are embossed.

Everidge discloses:

An embossed design on the disk (column 3, lines 41-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to emboss the first and second designs disclosed in

Inoue's and Spector's device, as taught by Everidge, because this will prevent

deterioration of the image on the disc cartridge, since the image is physically part of the

disc and cartridge, rather than simply painted thereon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the ·

examiner should be directed to Matthew G. Kayrish whose telephone number is 571-

272-4220. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm M-F.

Art Unit: 2627

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew G. Kayrish

11/2/2006

MK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER